



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/849,528	-	05/20/2004	Edmund J. Fish	06975-410001 / Communicat	5580
26171	7590	06/03/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & P.O. BOX		RDSON P.C.		PESIN, B	ORIS M
MINNEA	POLIS,	MN 55440-1022	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2174	
				DATE MAILED: 06/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-66 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-66 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.	·. •		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Borse Pesin	Office Action Commons		10/849,528	FISH, EDMUND J.				
The MALING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Educations of time rapple a smillarle under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.75(c). In an event, however, may a reply be timely filled Education of time rapple a smillarle under the provision of 37 CFR 1.75(c). In an event, however, may a reply be timely filled If the period for reply specified above is less beat hishy (00 days, a reply vision in the mailing date of time.) If the period for reply specified above is less beat hishy (00 days, a reply vision in the mailing date of time.) If the period for reply specified above is less beat hishy (00 days, a reply vision in the mailing date of time.) If the period for reply specified above is less beat the mailing date of the communication of the period of the communication. Finding is the period of the period of the period of the period of the communication of the period of the communication. Finding is the period of the period of the period of the period of the communication. The Responsive to communication(s) filled on		Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MALLING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of linear may be withinked used for the provisions of 37 CFR 1.73(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - Extensions of linear may be withinked used for the provisions of 37 CFR 1.73(b). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled - If No period for eaply specified abover, her maximum statutory particle with expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing of the communication. If No period for reply is specified abover, her maximum statutory particle will apply and with expire SIX (5) MONTHS from the mailing of the communication. Any reply received by the Office that then three members are period to the specified abover, her maximum statutory particle will apply and with expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing of the communication, executively filled. Linear particle states are period to the specified states are period to the specified states. Particle states are period to the specified states are period to the communication, executively filled. 1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on				•				
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions time may be waited used the provision of 3 CFR 1.13(s). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filed ulter 30x (6) MCMSTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It NO period for reply is specified before the mailing date of this communication. It NO period for reply is specified above, the maintenes abstactory priod-valley and wile legacy (50 MCMSTHS the me mailing date of this communication. Fallure to reply violine his est or extended period for reply vall, by studie, cause the application to become ABANDONED (55 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office the than there monitors after the mailing date of this communication, even it timely filed, may reduce any exemple place term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	 THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-66 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-66 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some ON None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-952) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s)	1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
All b Suscession of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-66 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08) 5) Notice of Indicaral Papilication (PTO-152)	3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is						
4) Claim(s) 1-66 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) □ Notice of Partsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-66 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Beferences Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4)🖂	4) Claim(s) 1-66 is/are pending in the application.						
6) Claim(s) 1-66 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	-							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some *c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Orattsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informat Patent Application (PTO-152)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 10 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			·	ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* 0			.a				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	- See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachmen	((s)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) 🛭 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)						
	2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

"A method for providing notification information corresponding to a communication identity, the method comprising: accessing notification setting information that identifies a temporal condition including at least one temporal period during which notification information is to be provided or withheld, wherein a beginning and an end of the at least one temporal period are specified in the notification setting information in advance of the beginning of the temporal period; determining whether the temporal condition is satisfied; and controlling dissemination of notification information related to the communications identity based on whether the temporal condition is satisfied," is non-statutory for at least the reason that it is not tangibly embodied in a manner so as to be executable.

Claims 44 – 54 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

"A computer-readable medium or propagated signal having embodied thereon a computer program configured to provide notification information corresponding to a communication identity, the medium or signal comprising one or more code segments configured to: access notification setting information that identifies a temporal condition

Art Unit: 2174

including at least one temporal period during which notification information is to be provided or withheld, wherein a beginning and an end of the at least one temporal period are specified in the notification setting information in advance of the beginning of the temporal period; determine whether the temporal condition is satisfied; and control dissemination of notification information related to the communications identity based on whether the temporal condition is satisfied.," would normally be considered statutory unless the specification defines "computer readable medium" as including intangible media such as signals, carrier waves, transmissions, optical waves, transmission media or other media of being touched or perceived absent the tangible medium through which they are conveyed. In this case the claim clearly indicates "signal".

Claims 55 – 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

"A system for providing notification information corresponding to a communication identity, the system configured to: access notification setting information that identifies a temporal condition including at least one temporal period during which notification information is to be provided or withheld, wherein a beginning and an end of the at least one temporal period are specified in the notification setting information in advance of the beginning of the temporal period; determine whether the temporal condition is satisfied; and control dissemination of notification information relating to the communications identity based on whether the temporal condition is satisfied," is non-statutory, since its not tangibly embodied in a manner so as to be executable as the only hardware is in an intended use statement.

Art Unit: 2174

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-6, 8-10, 16-22, 25-27, 29-34, 44-48, 50-52, 55-59, 61-63, and 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Friedman (US 6714791) in view of Hendrey et al. (US 2003/0060214).

In regards to claim 1, Friedman teaches a method for providing notification information corresponding to a communication identity, the method comprising: accessing notification setting information that identifies a condition; determining whether the condition is satisfied; and controlling dissemination of notification information related to the communications identity based on whether the condition is satisfied (i.e. "User A's preferences with respect to location tracking may be stored in User A's configuration profile 1012 on the portal server 110. Subsequently, when User

A transmits a message (via messaging module 1011), the location tracking module 1010 will read User A's specifications from User A's configuration profile 1012 to determine how to format User A's positional data for transmission to User B and/or User C." Column 13, Line 10 and "these variables may or may not be transmitted for certain users depending on the profiles of those users (e.g., some users such as user "Andy" in the example may not want precise location data transmitted to other users" Column 13, Line 45). Friedman does not teach a temporal condition including at least one temporal period during which notification information is to be provided or withheld, wherein a beginning and an end of the at least one temporal period are specified in the notification setting information in advance of the beginning of the temporal period. Hendrey teaches, "The request SetVisibility 308 sets the user visibility to other user requests, such as ListNearbyUser 306 requests. When made part of a rule, the SetVisibility 308 request allows dynamic rule-based privacy. For example, a user can specify a rule that when the user is home, the user is invisible to ListNearbyUsers 306 requests made by other users." (Paragraph 50). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Friedman with the teachings of Hendrey and include a messaging system with a temporal period with the motivation to provide the user with control over his or her privacy.

In regards to claim 2, Friedman and Hendrey further teach the method of claim 1 wherein the notification information indicates whether the communications identity is available to communicate (i.e. "The request SetVisibility 308 sets the user visibility to other user requests, such as ListNearbyUser 306 requests. When made part of a rule,

Page 6

the SetVisibility 308 request allows dynamic rule-based privacy. For example, a user can specify a rule that when the user is home, the user is invisible to ListNearbyUsers 306 requests made by other users." Hendrey, Paragraph 50).

In regards to claim 3, Friedman and Hendrey further teach the method of claim 2 wherein the notification information indicates whether the communications identity is logged on to a particular communications system (i.e. "The request SetVisibility 308 sets the user visibility to other user requests, such as ListNearbyUser 306 requests. When made part of a rule, the SetVisibility 308 request allows dynamic rule-based privacy. For example, a user can specify a rule that when the user is home, the user is invisible to ListNearbyUsers 306 requests made by other users." Hendrey, Paragraph 50).

In regards to claim 4, Friedman and Hendrey further teach the method of claim 3 wherein the notification information indicates whether the communications identity is logged on to an instant messaging system (i.e. "The request SetVisibility 308 sets the user visibility to other user requests, such as ListNearbyUser 306 requests. When made part of a rule, the SetVisibility 308 request allows dynamic rule-based privacy. For example, a user can specify a rule that when the user is home, the user is invisible to ListNearbyUsers 306 requests made by other users." Hendrey, Paragraph 50).

In regards to claim 5, Friedman and Hendrey further teach the method of claim 1 wherein the notification information indicates a geographic location of the communications identity (i.e. "User A's preferences with respect to location tracking may be stored in User A's configuration profile 1012 on the portal server 110.

Subsequently, when User A transmits a message (via messaging module 1011), the location tracking module 1010 will read User A's specifications from User A's configuration profile 1012 to determine how to format User A's positional data for transmission to User B and/or User C." Friedman, Column 13, Line 10 and "these variables may or may not be transmitted for certain users depending on the profiles of those users (e.g., some users such as user "Andy" in the example may not want precise location data transmitted to other users" Friedman, Column 13, Line 45).

In regards to claim 6, Friedman and Hendrey further teach the method of claim 5 further comprising controlling a granularity at which the geographic location is disseminated based on notification setting information ("these variables may or may not be transmitted for certain users depending on the profiles of those users (e.g., some users such as user "Andy" in the example may not want precise location data transmitted to other users" Friedman, Column 13, Line 45).

In regards to claim 8, Friedman and Hendrey further teach the method of claim 6 wherein the granularity at which the geographic location is disseminated comprises one of a street address, a city, a metropolitan area, an area within a metropolitan area, a region of a country, or a country (i.e. Friedman, Figure 11).

In regards to claim 9, Friedman and Hendrey further teach the method of claim 6 wherein controlling the granularity at which the geographic location is disseminated comprises doing so based on notification setting information that is associated with a participant list (i.e. "User A's preferences with respect to location tracking may be stored in User A's configuration profile 1012 on the portal server 110. Subsequently,

Page 8

Art Unit: 2174

when User A transmits a message (via messaging module 1011), the location tracking module 1010 will read User A's specifications from User A's configuration profile 1012 to determine how to format User A's positional data for transmission to User B and/or User C." Friedman, Column 13, Line 10).

In regards to claim 10, Friedman and Hendrey further teach the method of claim 6 wherein controlling the granularity at which the geographic location is disseminated comprises doing so based on notification setting information that is associated with a category of communications identities on a participant list (i.e. "User A's preferences with respect to location tracking may be stored in User A's configuration profile 1012 on the portal server 110. Subsequently, when User A transmits a message (via messaging module 1011), the location tracking module 1010 will read User A's specifications from User A's configuration profile 1012 to determine how to format User A's positional data for transmission to User B and/or User C." Friedman, Column 13, Line 10).

In regards to claim 16, Friedman and Hendrey further teach the method of claim 1 comprising transmitting notification information between a mobile communications device capable of transmitting voice communications and an instant messaging system (Friedman, Column 6, Line 44).

In regards to claim 17, Friedman and Hendrey do not specifically teach a method comprising storing notification setting information on the mobile communications device. Official notice is given that it is well known in the art to store data on the communications device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

at the time of the invention to modify Friedman and Hendrey and include a method to store data on the communications device with the motivation to provide the user with quicker access to data.

In regards to claim 18, Friedman and Hendrey further teach a method of claim 16 further comprising storing notification setting information on the instant messaging system (Friedman, Column 13, Lines 10-13).

In regards to claim 19, Friedman and Hendrey further teach a method of claim 1 wherein notification setting information comprises notification information that is associated with one of multiple categories of notification information (Friedman, Column 13, Lines 1-9).

In regards to claim 20, Friedman and Hendrey further teach a method of claim 19 wherein notification setting information comprises multiple notification setting information components, each of which is associated with a hierarchy of notification categories (Friedman, Column 13, Lines 1-9).

In regards to claim 21, Friedman and Hendrey further teach a method of claim 19 wherein at least one of the multiple categories comprises a user-definable category (Friedman, Column 13, Line 3).

In regards to claim 22, Friedman and Hendrey further teach a method of claim 19 wherein at least one of the multiple categories is associated with a participant list identifying multiple communications identities designated by a user (Friedman, Column 13, Line 3).

In regards to claim 25, Friedman and Hendrey further teach a method of claim 1, wherein controlling dissemination of notification information comprises denying dissemination of notification information, the method further comprising: detecting an incoming communication associated with a user corresponding to the notification information (Friedman, Figure 11); and alerting the user to the incoming communication (Friedman, Figure 11).

In regards to claim 26, Friedman and Hendrey further teach a method of claim 25 wherein the incoming communication comprises an attempt to determine the geographic location of the user (Friedman, Figure 11).

In regards to claim 27, Friedman and Hendrey further teach a method of claim 25 wherein the incoming communication comprises an instant message sent to the user (Friedman, Figure 11).

In regards to claim 29, Freidman and Hendrey further teach a method of claim 1 wherein the temporal condition applies to all of several participant lists, each participant list identifying multiple communications identities designated by a user (i.e. "The request SetVisibility 308 sets the user visibility to other user requests, such as ListNearbyUser 306 requests. When made part of a rule, the SetVisibility 308 request allows dynamic rule-based privacy. For example, a user can specify a rule that when the user is home, the user is invisible to ListNearbyUsers 306 requests made by other users." Hendrey, Paragraph 50).

In regards to claim 30, Freidman and Hendrey further teach a method of claim 1 wherein the temporal condition applies to all individuals included in a participant list

associated with the user (i.e. "The request SetVisibility 308 sets the user visibility to other user requests, such as ListNearbyUser 306 requests. When made part of a rule, the SetVisibility 308 request allows dynamic rule-based privacy. For example, a user can specify a rule that when the user is home, the user is invisible to ListNearbyUsers 306 requests made by other users." Hendrey, Paragraph 50).

In regards to claim 31, Friedman and Hendrey further teach a method of claim 1 wherein the temporal condition applied to at least one individual included in a participant list associated with the user differs from a temporal condition applied to at least one other individual included in the participant list (i.e. "The request SetVisibility 308 sets the user visibility to other user requests, such as ListNearbyUser 306 requests. When made part of a rule, the SetVisibility 308 request allows dynamic rule-based privacy. For example, a user can specify a rule that when the user is home, the user is invisible to ListNearbyUsers 306 requests made by other users." Hendrey, Paragraph 50).

In regards to claim 32, Friedman and Hendrey further teach a method of claim 1 further comprising: accessing status information corresponding to availability of a communications identity included on a participant list that has multiple communications identities designed by a user, wherein: accessing notification setting information comprises accessing notification setting information for one or more participant lists associated with the communications identity (Friedman, Column 13, Lines 1-9), the notification setting information indicating a temporal condition for notifying communication identities on one or more of the participant lists such that the condition

Art Unit: 2174

includes a temporal period during which notification information is to be restricted (Hendrey, Paragraph 50), and controlling the dissemination of notification information comprises, in response to a determination that the temporal condition is not satisfied, passively configuring the participant list to persistently conceal the status of the communications identity (Hendrey, Paragraph 50).

In regards to claim 33, Friedman and Hendrey further teach a method of claim 32 wherein persistently concealing the status of the communications identity comprises indicating that availability information for the communications identity is unknown (Friedman, Figure 11).

In regards to claim 34, Friedman and Hendrey further teach a method of claim 32 wherein persistently concealing the status of the communications identity comprises indicating that the communications identity is not available to communicate (Hendrey, Paragraph 50).

Claims 44-48 are similar in scope to claims 1-5; therefore they are rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 50 is similar in scope to claim 16; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 51 is similar in scope to claim 19; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 52 is similar in scope to claim 32; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

Claims 55-59 are similar in scope to claims 1-5; therefore they are rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 61 is similar in scope to claim 16; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 62 is similar in scope to claim 19; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 63 is similar in scope to claim 32; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 66 is similar in scope to claim 1; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Friedman (US 6714791) in view of Hendrey et al. (US 2003/0060214) further in view of Barclay et al. (US 2003/0119522).

In regards to claim 7, Friedman and Hendrey teach all the limitations of claim 6. They do not teach a method further comprising permitting a recipient of geographic location to control the granularity of the geographic location displayed. Barclay teaches, "The customer may select the granularity (303) of the location information as well as the format (309) in which the information is sent." (Abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Friedman and Hendrey with the teachings of Barclay and permit the recipient of a

geographic location to control granularity with the motivation to provide the user with greater control of information available.

Claims 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 49, and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Friedman (US 6714791) in view of Hendrey et al. (US 2003/0060214) further in view of Gudjonsson et al. (US 6564261).

In regards to claim 11, Friedman and Hendrey teach all the limitations of claim 1. They further teach setting a temporal condition during which notification information is to be provided or withheld. They do not teach accessing one of many participant lists, each of which identifies multiple communications identities designated by a user, wherein: accessing notification setting information comprises doing so for one or more participant lists that identifies a condition for notifying communications identities on a participant list, and controlling dissemination comprises controlling dissemination of notification information relating to communications identities identified on the at least one of many participant lists. Gudjonsson teaches, "The user may specify users or groups of users that can get through to him/her even if his online status is do not disturb. Any of the groups defined in the buddy list, or the inverse (i.e., all users not in the group) of those groups, may be used to specify this. There can be one generic ready-made stock reply for each online status available." (Column 27, Line 23). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Friedman with the teachings of Gudjonsson and include a method of accessing

Art Unit: 2174

notification setting information for participant lists with the motivation to provide the user with a quicker method of accessing information.

In regards to claim 12, Friedman, Hendrey and Gudjonsson further teach the method of claim 11 wherein different temporal conditions are associated with different ones of the participant lists (i.e. "The user may specify users or groups of users that can get through to him/her even if his online status is do not disturb. Any of the groups defined in the buddy list, or the inverse (i.e., all users not in the group) of those groups, may be used to specify this. There can be one generic ready-made stock reply for each online status available." (Gudjonsson, Column 27, Line 23).

In regards to claim 13, Friedman, Hendrey and Gudjonsson further teach the method of claim 11 wherein determining whether the temporal condition is satisfied comprises doing so for at least one but less than all of the participant lists (i.e. "The user may specify users or groups of users that can get through to him/her even if his online status is do not disturb. Any of the groups defined in the buddy list, or the inverse (i.e., all users not in the group) of those groups, may be used to specify this. There can be one generic ready-made stock reply for each online status available." (Gudjonsson, Column 27, Line 23).

In regards to claim 14, Friedman, Hendrey and Gudjonsson further the method of claim 11 wherein controlling dissemination comprises providing notification information (i.e. "User A's preferences with respect to location tracking may be stored in User A's configuration profile 1012 on the portal server 110. Subsequently, when User A transmits a message (via messaging module 1011), the location tracking module 1010

Art Unit: 2174

will read User A's specifications from User A's configuration profile 1012 to determine how to format User A's positional data for transmission to User B and/or User C."

Friedman, Column 13, Line 10).

In regards to claim 15, Friedman, Hendrey and Gudjonsson further the method the method of claim 11 wherein controlling dissemination comprises restricting the provision of notification information ("these variables may or may not be transmitted for certain users depending on the profiles of those users (e.g., some users such as user "Andy" in the example may not want precise location data transmitted to other users" Friedman, Column 13, Line 45).

Claim 49 is in the same context as claim 11; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 60 is in the same context as claim 11; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claims 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Friedman (US 6714791) in view of Hendrey et al. (US 2003/0060214) further in view of Karstens (US2005/0071435).

In regards to claim 23, Freidman and Hendrey teach all the limitations of claim 1. They do not teach displaying an alert that indicates the notification setting that is to be applied based on the temporal condition. Karstens teaches displaying an alert that indicates the notification setting that is to be applied based on the temporal condition

(Figure 5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Friedman and Hendrey with the teachings of Karstens with the motivation to increase the overall efficiency (Karstens, Paragraph [0008]).

In regards to claim 24, Friedman, Hendrey and Karstens further teach a method claim 23 further comprising prompting a user to modify the notification setting information that is to be applied based on the temporal condition (Karstens, Figure 5).

Claim 28 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Friedman (US 6714791) in view of Hendrey et al. (US 2003/0060214) further in view of Rahman et al. (US 6463292).

In regards to claim 28, Friedman and Hendrey teach all the limitations of claim 1. They do not specifically teach a method further comprising when the temporal condition is satisfied such that availability of the user to communicate is hidden and the user indicates that a message is to be sent, displaying a prompt to alert the user that the availability of the user to communicate will be revealed when the message is sent. Rahman teaches, "a user interface manager for displaying user-interactive prompts in response to the detected alert message". It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Friedman and Hendrey with the teachings of Rahman and include a system to alert the user when information is being sent with the motivation to provide the user with greater privacy.

Claim 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Friedman (US 6714791) in view of Hendrey et al. (US 2003/0060214) further in view of deCarmo (US 2004/0010808).

In regards to claim 35, Freidman and Henrey teach all the limitations of claim 34. They do not specifically teach a method wherein persistently concealing the status of the communications identity comprises indicating that the communications identity is not logged onto an instant message system used by the communications identity when the communications identity is logged onto the instant message system. deCarmo teaches, "Flowchart 400 is based on the user and the user's preferences and interacts with message server 110 to automatically establish or hide a user's presence and availability for instant messaging." (Paragraph [36]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Freidman and Hendrey with the teachings of deCarmo and include a method to hide the users online activity with the motivation to provide the user with a higher control of privacy.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 36-38, 53, and 64 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: In regards to claim 36, 53 and 64, prior art does not teach accessing

information indicating that a first communications identity associated with a first mobile device is associated with a second communications identity such that the first communications identity corresponds to a natural person and the second communications identity corresponds to the natural person wherein the natural person is the same person; in combination will all of the other claim limitations.

Claims 39-43, 54, and 65 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: In regards to claim 39, 54 and 65, prior art does not teach accessing delegation information associated with a communications identity, the delegation information including multiple categories of communications identities and, for at least one of the multiple categories, identifying notification information modifications to be controlled; receiving, from user identity associated with a category of the multiple categories, a modification of notification information regarding the notification setting information; accessing delegation information associated with the category; determining whether the user identity is permitted to perform the modification based on the delegation information associated with the category; in combination with all the other claim limitations.

Art Unit: 2174

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris Pesin whose telephone number is (571) 272-4070. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday except every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BP

Wristine Zincaid

KRISTINE KINCAID

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 20